## PAPER-8

## COST ACCOUNTING

The figures in the margin on the right side indicate full marks. Where considered necessary, suitable assumptions may be made and clearly indicated in the answer.
Answer Question No. 1 and any five from Question No. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8.

## SECTION - A

(Compulsory)

1. (a)

| (i) | (ii) | (iii) | (iv) | (v) | (vi) | (vii) | (viii) | (ix) | (x) | (xi) | (xii) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c | d | d | c | a | c | d | a | c | a | c | c |

(b)

| (i) | (ii) | (iii) | (iv) | (v) | (vi) | (vii) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| True | False | False | True | False | True | False |

(c)

| (i) | (ii) | (iii) | (iv) | (v) | (vi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pre-determined | document | excess (or additional or <br> more or higher) | capacity | reconcile sales budget |  |

## SECTION - B

(Answer any five questions)
2. (a)

| High Low method | Units | Cost in ₹ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Highest month | 900 | 2,000 |
| Lowest month | $(400)$ | $(1,000)$ |
| Net total | 500 | 1000 |

The additional cost between the highest and lowest month

$$
\frac{₹ 1,000}{500}=₹ 2 \text { per unit }
$$

So, taking either higher or lower number
Higher $\rightarrow 900 \times ₹ 2=₹ 1,800$ So fixed cost $=₹ 200$
Lower $\rightarrow 400 \times ₹ 2=₹ 800$ So fixed cost $=₹ 200$
(b) (i) The Accounting standard 6 (CAS -6) deals with principles and methods of determining the Material Cost. Material for the purpose of this standard includes raw materials, process materials, and additives, manufactured / bought out components, sub-assemblies, accessories, semi-finished goods, consumable stores, spares and other indirect materials. This standard does not deal with Packing Materials as a separate standard is being issued on the subject. This standard deals with the principles and methods of classification, measurement and assignment of Material Cost, for determination of the cost of product or service, and the presentation and disclosure in cost statements.

- Objective - The objective of this standard is to bring uniformity and consistency in the principles and methods of determining the Material Cost with reasonable accuracy.
- Scope- This standard should be applied to cost statements which require classification, measurement, assignment, presentation and disclosure of Material Costs including those requiring attestation.
(ii) The Institute of Cost Accountants of India issued 24 CAS till to date (31/03/2023). Classification of cost is the arrangement of items of costs in logical groups having regard to their nature (subjective classification) or purpose (objective classification).
The Scheme of classification should be such, so that every item of cost can be classified. As per CAS-1 the following basis are normally followed:
a) Nature of expense;
b) Relation to object - traceability;
c) Functions / activities;
d) Behaviour - Fixed, Semi-variable or Variable;
e) Management decision making;
f) Production Process and
g) Time period
(iii) The cost statements shall disclose the following: -

1. The basis of assignment of overheads to the cost objects.
2. Overheads incurred in foreign exchange.
3. Overheads relating to resources received from or supplied to related parties.
4. Any Subsidy / Grant / Incentive or any amount of similar nature received / receivable reduced from overheads.
5. Credits / recoveries relating to overheads.
6. Any abnormal cost not forming part of the overheads.
7. Any unabsorbed overheads.
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3. (a)
i. $\quad \mathrm{EOQ}=\sqrt{\frac{2 A O}{C}} \quad \mathrm{~A}=$ Annual requirement $=36,000$ units
$\mathrm{O}=$ Ordering Cost per order $=₹ 25$
C $=$ Carrying cost per unit per annum $=1 \times 20 \%=₹ 0.20$
$\mathrm{EOQ}=\sqrt{\frac{2 \times 36,000 \times 25}{0.20}}=3,000$ units

Comparative Cost Statement of Existing Purchase Policy with proposed EOQ Purchase Policy

|  | Existing Purchase Policy Ordering Quantity $=\frac{36,000}{6}=$ 6,000 units |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Proposed EOQ Purchase Policy } \\ \text { Ordering Quantity = 3,000 } \\ \text { units } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ₹ |  | ₹ |
| Purchase Cost | $36,000 \times 1$ | 36,000 | $36,000 \times 1$ | 36,000 |
| Ordering Cost | $6 \times 25$ | 150 | $12 \times 25$ | 300 |
| Carrying <br> Cost | $\frac{1}{2} \times 6,000 \times 1 \times 20 \%$ | 600 | $\frac{1}{2} \times 3,000 \times 1 \times 20 \%$ | 300 |
| Total Cost |  | 36,750 |  | 36,600 |

Net Savings = ₹ 36,750-₹ 36,600 = ₹ 150
(ii) This is also in the form of incidental material residue coming out of certain types of manufacturing processes but it is usually in small amounts and has low measurable utility or market value, recoverable without further processing. Numerous examples of scrap may be given; scrap may arise in the form of turnings, borings, trimmings, fillings, shavings etc., from metals on which machine operations are carried out; saw dust and trimmings in the timber industry; dead heads and bottom ends in foundries; and cuttings, pieces, and split in leather industries. Scrap should always be physically available unlike waste which may or may not be present in the form of a residue.
Accounting treatment of scrap is as follows:

- $\quad$ Sales credited to revenue

In this method, the scrap is not cost and its value does not, therefore, appear separately in the cost accounts. Only a quantitative record of the scrap returned to storeroom from the shops is maintained and the sale value realized
from time to time is credited to the profit and loss account as miscellaneous revenue.

- Credit to overhead

In this method and in the following method the scrap is assigned a cost. The cost is usually the sale value of the scrap less selling and distribution costs. If the scrap has no ready market but has only utility or use value, and is taken as a credit to manufacturing overhead. The effect of this credit is to reduce the overhead recovery rate. When predetermined overhead rates are in use, it is more expedient to credit an estimated allowance for the scrap instead of the amount of actual scrap.

## - Credit to jobs

The scrap is assigned a cost and is traced to the job which yielded the scrap. This affords a reasonable amount of credit to the jobs and widely different.

## - Transfer to other jobs

Scrap arising in one job may be issued for utilization in another job. Such transfers of scrap from one job to another should be affected through Material Transfer Notes. Alternatively, scrap may be returned to store room and subsequently issued to another job for utilisation. The latter method is more appropriate when some further processing is required on the scrap before it can be utilized for other jobs.
(b) (i) Labour turnover is the rate of change in the labour force of a concern during a specific period. In every organisation some employees leave every year while new employees are recruited in their place. This is a natural phenomenon in industrial sector and it gives rise to the problem of labour turnover. The rate at which the employees depart from the organisation is normally measured as the ratio of number of persons leaving in a period to the average number of employees on the pay roll. A controlled level of labour turnover is considered as desirable because it helps the firm to adjust the size of its labour force in response to needs such as for seasonal changes or changes in technology.

The rate of labour turnover is high if the number of employees leaving the organisation occurs frequently. This leads to-
(i) decrease in the productivity and efficiency in the concern,
(ii) destabilize normal flow of work,
(iii) increases the labour cost.
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## Causes of Labour Turnover

The causes giving rise to high labour turnover may be broadly classified under the following heads:

- Personnel Causes: Workers may leave employment purely on personal grounds, e.g.,
a) Dislike for the job, locality or environments.
b) Domestic troubles and family responsibilities.
c) Change of line for betterment.
d) Retirement due to old age and ill health.
e) Death.

In all such cases, personal factors count the most and employer can practically do nothing to help the situation.

- Unavoidable Causes: In certain circumstances it becomes obligatory on the part of the management to ask some of the workers to leave. These circumstances are:
a) Retrenchment due to seasonal trade, shortage of any material and other resources, slack market for the product, etc.
b) Discharge on disciplinary grounds.
c) Discharge due to continued or long absence.
- Avoidable Causes: Under this head, may be grouped the causes which need the attention of the management most so that the turnover may be kept low by taking remedial measures. The main reasons for which workers leave are:
a) Unsuitability of job
b) Low pay and allowance
c) Unsatisfactory working conditions
d) Unhappy relations with co-workers and unsatisfactory behaviour of superior
e) Dispute between rival trade unions.
f) Lack of transport, accommodation, medical and other facto₹
g) Lack of amenities like recreational centres, schools, etc.

The above causes may also be classified in a different manner under three heads, viz., Financial Causes, Social and Economic Causes and Psychological Causes relating to human relationship.

## Measurement of Labour Turnover

It is essential for any organisation to measure the Labour Turnover. This is necessary for having an idea about the turnover in the organisation and also to
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compare the labour turnover of the previous period with the current one. The following methods are available for measurement of the labour turnover:

- Additions Method: Under this method, number of employees added during a particular period is taken into consideration for computing the Labour Turnover. The method of computing is as follows:

$$
\text { Labour Turnover }=\frac{\text { Number of Additions }}{\text { Average Number of Workers during the period }} \times 100
$$

- Separation Method: In this method, instead of taking the number of employees added, number of employees left during the period is taken into consideration. The method of computation is as follows:
Labour Turnover $=\frac{\text { Number of Separation }}{\text { Average Number of Workers during the period }} \times 100$
- Replacement Method: In this method neither the additions nor the separations are taken into consideration. The number of employees replaced is taken into consideration for computing the labour turnover.
Labour Turnover $=\frac{\text { Number of Replacements }}{\text { Average Number of Workers during the period }} \mathrm{X} 100$
- Flux Method: Under this method Labour Turnover is computed by taking into consideration the additions as well as separations. The turnover can also be computed by taking replacements and separations also. Computation is done as per the following methods:
Labour Turnover $=\frac{\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{x}(\text { Number of Additions }+ \text { Number of Separations) }}{\text { Average Number of workers during the period }} \mathrm{x} 100$
(ii) Let ' $T$ ' be the time taken by the worker

Earnings under Rowan Plan $=\mathrm{T} \times \mathrm{R}+\frac{T S}{T A} \times T \times R$
$\mathrm{T}=$ Time Taken,
TA = Time Allotted or Allowed,
TS $=$ Time Saved $=$ TA - T,
$\mathrm{R}=$ Rate per hour
or, Earnings $\quad=T \times 1.25+\frac{40-T}{40} \times T \times 1.25$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { or, } \quad=\frac{50 T+50 T-1.25 T^{2}}{40} \\
& \text { or, } \quad=\frac{100-1.25 T^{2}}{40} \\
& \text { Factory Cost }=\text { Material Cost }+ \text { Wages + Factory Overhead }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { or, } 161.875=100+\frac{100 T-1.25 T^{2}}{40}+0.5 \mathrm{~T}
$$

or, $6,475=4,000+100 \mathrm{~T}-1.25 \mathrm{~T}^{2}+20 \mathrm{~T}$
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or, $1.25 T^{2}-120 \mathrm{~T}+2,475=0$
Dividing the equation by 1.25
or, $T^{2}-96 \mathrm{~T}+1,980=0$
or, $T^{2}-66 \mathrm{~T}-30 \mathrm{~T}+1,980=0$
or, $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{T}-66)-30(\mathrm{~T}-66)=0$
or, $(\mathrm{T}-66)(\mathrm{T}-30)=0$
or, $\mathrm{T} \neq 66$ [Since, Time taken should not be more than Time Allotted]
So, $\mathrm{T}=30$. Hence, Time taken by the worker $=30$ hours
4. (a) Since, different materials are used for producing products, it is advisable, preferable and appropriate to use the method of absorbing overheads based on percentage of material cost instead of percentage on prime cost which is shown as follows:

| Particulars | $\begin{gathered} \text { Product } \mathrm{A} \\ ₹ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Product B } \\ ₹ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Product C } \\ ₹ \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials | 1,600 | 2,000 | 800 |
| Labour | 1,200 | 1,000 | 400 |
| Prime Cost | 2,800 | 3,000 | 1,200 |
| Actual Overhead Incurred | 800 | 650 | 350 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} =\frac{R s .800}{R s .1,600} \times 100 \\ =\mathbf{5 0 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $=\frac{\text { Rs. } 650}{\text { Rs. } 2,000} \times 100$ | $\begin{gathered} =\frac{R s .350}{R s .800} \times 100 \\ =\mathbf{4 3 . 7 5 \%} \end{gathered}$ |

Overhead Recovery Rate is calculated based on historical data. So, actual overhead is used to calculate the future recovery rate.
(b)

Journal

| Particulars | Dr. | Cr. |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Amount (₹ ) | Amount (₹ ) |  |
| Material Control A/c <br> To Cash A/c | Dr | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| Work in Progress Control A/c <br> To Material Control A/c | Dr | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| Wages Control A/c <br> To Cash A/c | Dr | 24,000 | 24,000 |
| Factory Overhead Control A/c (24,000 x 30\%) Dr <br> To Wages Control A/c | 7,200 | 7,200 |  |
| Work in Progress Control A/c (24,000 x 70\%) <br> To Wages Control A/c | Dr | 16,800 | 16,800 |
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| Factory Overhead Control A/c <br> To Cash | 19,000 | 19,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Work in Progress Control A/c Dr <br> To Factory Overhead Control A/c  | 18,000 | 18,000 |
| Selling and Distribution Overhead Control A/c Dr To Cash A/c | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| Cost of Sales A/c Dr <br> To Selling and Distribution Overhead A/c  | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| Finished Goods Control A/c Dr <br> To Work in Progress Control A/c  | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| Debtors A/c Dr <br> To Profit and Loss A/c  | 58,000 | 58,000 |
| Cash A/c  Dr <br>  To Debtors A/c  | 13,800 | 13,800 |
| Creditors A/c Dr <br> To Cash A/c  | 12,000 | 12,000 |

5. (a)

Cost Sheet Component 'The Blank'

| Particulars | Batch Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0}$ <br> Components |  | 100 <br> Components |  | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ <br> Components |  |
|  | p.u. | Total | p.u. | Total | p.u. | Total |
|  | $₹$. | $₹$. | $₹$. | $₹$. | $₹$. | $₹$. |
| A. Production Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Material Cost | 0.06 | 0.60 | 0.06 | 6.00 | 0.06 | 60.00 |
| Machine Operators Wages <br> (WN 1) | 0.12 | 1.20 | 0.12 | 12.00 | 0.12 | 120.00 |
| Overheads (WN 2) | 0.25 | 2.50 | 0.25 | 25.00 | 0.25 | 250.00 |
| Total Production Cost | $\mathbf{0 . 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 0 . 0 0}$ |
| B. Setting up Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Machine Operator Wages <br> (WN 3) | 0.168 | 1.68 | 0.0168 | 1.68 | 0.00168 | 1.68 |
| Overheads (WN 4) | 0.350 | 3.50 | 0.035 | 3.50 | 0.0035 | 3.50 |
| Total Setting up Cost | $\mathbf{0 . 5 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 5 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1 8}$ |
| Total Cost | $\mathbf{0 . 9 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 8 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 3 5 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 5 . 1 8}$ |
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Working Notes:

|  | 10 <br> Components | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ <br> Components | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ <br> Components |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time taken to produce the <br> Components @ 10 minutes <br> per component | 100 Minutes <br> or, $\frac{100}{60}$ hours | 1,000 Minutes <br> or, $\frac{1,000}{60}$ hours | 10,000 Minutes <br> or, $\frac{10,000}{60}$ hours |
| 1. Machine Operators Wage <br> @ ₹ 0.72 per hour | $\frac{100}{60} \times 0.72$ <br> $=$ Rs. 1.20 | $\frac{1,000}{60} \times 0.72$ <br> $=$ Rs. 12 | $\frac{10,000}{60} \times 0.72$ <br> $=$ Rs. 120 |
| 2. Overheads @ ₹ 1.50 per <br> hour | $\frac{100}{60} \times 1.50$ <br> $=R s .2 .50$ | $\frac{1,000}{60} \times 1.50$ <br> $=$ Rs. 25 | $\frac{10,000}{60} \times 1.50$ <br> $=R s .250$ |

## Setting up Cost

3. $\quad$ Machine Operators Wages $=2$ hours 20 minutes $\times ₹ 0.72=2 \frac{1}{3} \times 0.72=R s .1 .68$
4. Overhead $\quad=2$ hours 20 minutes $\times ₹ 1.50=2 \frac{1}{3} \times 1.50=$ Rs. 3.50
(b)

| Dr Contract Account |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Particulars | ₹ | Particulars | ₹ |
| To Materials A/c (Purchased) <br> To Wages A/c <br> To Outstanding Wages A/c <br> To General Expenses A/c <br> To Depreciation on Plant A/c | $\begin{array}{r} 1,00,000 \\ 45,000 \\ 5,000 \\ 10,000 \\ 5,000 \end{array}$ | By Materials at Site c/d <br> By Cost of Construction c/d | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 25,000 \\ 1,40,000 \end{array}$ |
|  | 1,65,000 |  | 1,65,000 |
| To Cost of Construction b/d To Notional Profit c/d | $\begin{array}{r} 1,40,000 \\ 80,000 \end{array}$ | By Work in Progress A/c <br> - Value of Work Certified <br> - Escalation <br> - Cost of Uncertified Work |  |
|  | 2,20,000 |  | 2,20,000 |
| To Profit \& Loss A/c <br> To Work in Progress A/c <br> - Provision for Contingencies | $\begin{aligned} & 19,512 \\ & 60,488 \end{aligned}$ | By Notional Profit b/d | 80,000 |
|  | 80,000 |  | 80,000 |
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## Working Notes:

- Increase in Contract Price due to Escalation in the Prices of Materials and Labour Cost of Materials and Labour incurred $=1,00,000+45,000+5,000-25,000$

$$
=₹ 1,25,000
$$

Increase in prices of Materials and Labour by $25 \%$
So, Cost of Materials and Labour before increase in Prices
$=1,25,000 \times \frac{100}{125}=₹ 1,00,000$
Increase in Contract Price (beyond 5\% increase)

$$
=\frac{25}{100} x\left(1,25,000-1,00,000 \times \frac{105}{100}\right)=\frac{25}{100} \times(1,25,000-1,05,000)=₹ 5,000
$$

- Amount to be transferred to Profit \& Loss A/c

$$
=\frac{1}{3} \times 80,000 \times \frac{1,50,000}{2,05,000}=₹ 19,512
$$

6. (a)

## Statement of Equivalent Production

| Inputs |  | Output |  | Equivalent Production Units |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Material | Labour |  | Overhead |  |
| Items | Units |  |  | Items | Units | \% <br> Completion | Units | $\%$ Completion | Units | \% Completion | Units |
| Op. WIP | 200 | Op. WIP | 200 | - | - | 60 | 120 | 60 | 120 |
| Units |  | Finished | 900 | 100 | 900 | 100 | 900 | 100 | 900 |
| Introduced | 1,050 | Goods <br> (Introduced |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \& Completed) Cl. WIP | 150 | 100 | 150 | 70 | 105 | 70 | 105 |
|  | 1,250 |  | 1,250 |  | 1,050 |  | 1,125 |  | 1,125 |

Transfer to Next Process $=1,100$ units (given)
Work done on Op. WIP and Completed $=200$ units
Work done on units introduced and completed $(1,100-200)=900$ units
Statement of Cost per unit

| Particulars | Amount (₹) | Equivalent Units | Cost per unit (₹) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Material | 1,050 | 1,050 | 1 |
| Labour | 2,250 | 1,125 | 2 |
| Production Overhead | 1,125 | 1,125 | 1 |
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Valuation of Closing Stock

| Particulars | Units | Cost per unit (₹ ) | Total Cost (₹ ) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Material | 150 | 1 | 150 |
| Labour | 105 | 2 | 210 |
| Production Overhead | 105 | 1 | 105 |
|  |  |  | 465 |

Process Account

| Particulars | Units | Rate | Amount <br> $(₹)$ | Particulars | Units | Rate | Amount <br> $(₹)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To Opening Stock A/c | 200 | 4 | 800 | By Closing Stock A/c | 150 | $\frac{465}{150}=3.10$ | 465 |
| To Material A/c | 1,050 | 1 | 1,050 |  |  |  |  |
| To Labour A/c |  |  | 2,250 | By Finished Stock A/c | 1,100 | $\frac{4,760}{1,100}=4.33$ | 4,760 |
| To Production |  |  | 1,125 |  |  |  |  |
| Overhead A/c |  |  |  |  | 1,250 |  | 5,225 |
|  | 1,250 |  | 5,225 |  |  |  |  |

(b) Total Distance travelled by 10 bus per month
$=($ Distance of route one way $\times 2) \times$ Number of trips per day $\times$ Number of days operating in the month $\times$ Number of buses
$=20 \times 2 \times 3 \times 25 \times 10=30,000 \mathrm{~km}$ per month

## Computation of Passenger-Km per month

$=$ Total Distance Travelled by 10 bus per month $x$ Number of passenger $=30,000 \times 40=12,00,000$ passenger -km per month

Computation of Total Cost for 10 bus per month
(Excluding Commission of Driver and Conductor)

| Particulars | ₹ <br> Fixed or Standing Charges <br> (Cost per month) |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Depreciation |  | $8,333.33$ |
| Insurance | $\frac{\text { ₹ } 50,000 \times 10 \times 3 \%}{12}$ | $1,250.00$ |
| Tax | $\frac{₹ 1,000 \times 10}{12}$ | 833.33 |
| Garage Charges |  | $1,000.00$ |
| Salary of Drivers | ₹ $150 \times 10$ | $1,500.00$ |
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| Salary of Conductors | $₹ 100 \times 10$ | $1,000.00$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Cost of Stationery |  | 500.00 |
| Salary of Manager |  | $2,000.00$ |
| Salary of Accountant | $\frac{₹}{1,000 \times 10}$ |  |
| Maintenance Charges |  | $1,500.00$ |
| Repairs | $\frac{30,000 \mathrm{~km}}{100 \mathrm{~km}} x ₹ 25$ | 833.34 |
| Running Charges |  | 7,500 |
| Petrol and Oil |  | $26,250.00$ |

Let the taking be ₹ $X$
Total Cost (Excluding Commission) + Commission + Profit $=$ Takings
or, $26,250+\frac{10}{100} X+\frac{15}{100} X=X$
or , $\frac{75}{100} X=26,250$
or, $X=35,000$
$\therefore$ Takings $=$ ₹ 35, 000
Profit $=15 \% \times 35,000=₹ 5,250$
Commission of Driver and Conductor $=10 \% x 35,000=₹ 3,500$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\therefore \text { Fare per passenger }-k m=\frac{₹ .35,000}{1,20,000 \text { passenger }-k m}=₹ 0.0292 \\
\approx ₹ 0.03
\end{gathered}
$$

7. (a) Fixed production costs absorbed

| Particulars | ₹ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Budgeted fixed production costs | $1,60,000$ |
| Budgeted output (normal level of activity 800 units) |  |
| Therefore, the absorption rate : 1,60,000/800 = ₹200 per unit |  |
| During the first quarter, the fixed production cost absorbed by Boost <br> Would be (220 units $\times$ ₹ 200 ) | 44,000 |

## Under / over recovery of overheads during the period

| Particulars | ₹ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Actual fixed production overhead (1/4 of ₹1,60,000) | 40,000 |
| Absorbed fixed production overhead | 44,000 |
| Over-recovery of overheads | 4,000 |


| Particulars | ₹ | ₹ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sales revenue (160 units $\times$ ₹2,000) : (A) |  | $3,20,000$ |
| Less : Production costs: |  |  |
| - Variable cost (220 units $\times$ ₹ 800) | $1,76,000$ |  |
| - Fixed overheads absorbed (220units $\times$ ₹ 200 ) | 44,000 | $2,20,000$ |

## Profit for the Quarter (Absorption Costing)

| Particulars | ₹ | ₹ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Add :Opening Stock |  | ----- |
| Less: Closing Stock ( ₹ 2,20,000/220 units $\times 60$ units) |  | $(60,000)$ |
| Cost of Goods sold |  | $1,60,000$ |
| Less: Adjustment forever-absorption of fixed production <br> overheads |  | $(4,000)$ |
| Less: Selling \& Distribution Overheads: |  |  |
| -Variable (160 units ₹ 400) | 64,000 |  |
| - Fixed (1/4 $4^{\text {th }}$ of ₹ 2,40,000) | 60,000 | $1,24,000$ |
| Cost of Sales (B) |  | $2,80,000$ |
| Profit $\{(\mathrm{A})-(\mathrm{B})\}$ |  | 40,000 |

## Profit for the Quarter (Marginal Costing)

| Particulars | ₹ | ₹ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Sales revenue (160 units $\times$ ₹ 2,000$):(\mathrm{A})$ |  | $3,20,000$ |
| Less: Production costs: <br> -Variable cost $(220$ units $\times$ ₹ 800$)$ |  | $1,76,000$ |
| Add: Opening Stock <br> Less: Closing Stock (₹ $1,76,000 / 220$ units $\times 60$ units) |  | ---- |
| Variable cost of goods sold |  | $1,28,000)$ |
| Add: Selling \& Distribution Overheads: <br> - Variable $(160$ units $\times$ ₹ 400) |  | 64,000 |
| Total Variable Cost $(\mathrm{B})$ | $(40,000)$ | $1,92,000$ |
| Contribution $\{(\mathrm{C})=(\mathrm{A})-(\mathrm{B})\}$ | $(60,000)$ | $(1,00,000)$ |
| Less: Fixed Costs: <br> - Production cost <br> - Selling \& distribution cost |  | 28,000 |
| Profit |  |  |

(b) a. Applying limiting factor analysis to make or buy.

Statement of profitability on which the above decision is to be taken

|  | Component <br> A | Component <br> B | Component <br> C |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable cost of production | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| Outside purchase price | 2 | 6 | 12 |
| Excess (variable cost of production <br> minus buy price) | 1 | -2 | -6 |

Component A should be bought out regardless of any limiting factor since variable cost of production is higher than the outside purchase price.
b. If machine hours are limited to 4,000 hours (Component A is to be bought and thus the in house production of component A is not considered).

|  | Component B | Component C |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Excess cost | 2 | 6 |
| Machine hours per unit | 0.5 | 2 |
| Excess cost per machine hour | $₹ 4$ | $₹ 3$ |

Component C has the lowest excess cost per limiting factor so it should be bought out.

## Check

|  | Component B | Component C |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Units production in 4ooo machine hours | 8000 units | 2000 units |
| Production costs | $₹ 32,000$ | $₹ 14,000$ |
| Purchase costs | $₹ 48,000$ | $₹ 26,000$ |
| Excess cost of purchase | $₹ 16,000$ | $₹ 12,000$ |

c. If labour hours are limited to 4,000 hours Component A is to be bought and thus the in house production of component A is not considered).

|  | Component B | Component C |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Excess cost | 2 | 6 |
| Labour hours | 3 | 4 |
| Excess cost per labour hour | Rs 0.66 | Rs 1.50 |

Therefore, component B has the lowest excess cost per limiting factor and should be bought out

## COST ACCOUNTING

## Check

|  | Component B | Component C |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Units production in 4 labour hours | 1333 units | 1000 units |
| Production costs | $₹ 5332$ | $₹ 6000$ |
| Purchase costs | $₹ 7998$ | $₹ 12000$ |
| Excess cost of purchase | $₹ 2666$ | $₹ 6000$ |

8. (a) $\mathrm{SQ}=$ Standard Quantity for Actual Output

Material $A=\frac{40}{90} x 4,18,500=1,86,000 \mathrm{~kg}$
Material $B=\frac{10}{90} x 4,18,500=46,500 \mathrm{~kg}$
Material $\mathrm{C}=\frac{50}{90} x 4,18,500=2,32,500 \mathrm{~kg}$
SP = Standard Price per unit
Material A = ₹ 76 Material B = ₹ $50 \quad$ Material C = ₹ 20
$\mathrm{AQ}=$ Actual Quantity used
Material $A=1,95,000 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Material $B=42,500 \mathrm{~kg} \quad$ Material $\mathrm{C}=2,25,000 \mathrm{~kg}$
$\mathrm{AP}=$ Actual Price per unit
Material $\mathrm{A}=₹ 80$ Material $\mathrm{B}=₹ 52$ Material C = ₹ 21
RSQ $=$ Revised Standard Quantity for Actual Input
Material $\mathrm{A}=\frac{40}{100} x(1,95,000+42,500+2,25,000)=\frac{40}{100} x 4,62,500=1,85,000 \mathrm{~kg}$
Material $B=\frac{10}{100} x 4,62,500=46,250 \mathrm{~kg}$
Material $\mathrm{C}=\frac{50}{100} \times 4,18,500=2,31,250 \mathrm{~kg}$
i. Material Cost Variance $=\mathrm{SQ}$ x SP $-\mathrm{AQ} \times \mathrm{AP}$

Material A $=1,86,000 \times ₹ 76-1,95,000 \times ₹ 80=₹ 14,64,000(\mathrm{~A})$
Material B $=46,500 \times ₹ 50-42,500 \mathrm{x} ₹ 52=$ ₹ $1,15,000(\mathrm{~F})$
Material C $=2,32,500 \mathrm{x} ₹ 20-2,25,000 \mathrm{x} ₹ 21 \quad=$ ₹ 75,000 (A)
$=\boldsymbol{₹} \mathbf{1 4 , 2 4 , 0 0 0 ( A )}$
ii. $\quad$ Material Price Variance $=(S P-A P) \times A Q$

Material $A=₹(76-80) \times 1,95,000=₹ 7,80,000(\mathrm{~A})$
Material $B=₹(50-52) \times 42,500 \quad=₹ 85,000(A)$
Material C $=₹(20-21) \times 2,25,000 \quad=₹ 2,25,000(\mathrm{~A})$
$=₹ \mathbf{1 0 , 9 0 , 0 0 0 ( A )}$

## COST ACCOUNTING

iii. $\quad$ Material Usage Variance $=(S Q-A Q) \times S P$

Material $A=(1,86,000-1,95,000) x$ ₹ $76=₹ 6,84,000(A)$
Material B $=(46,500-42,500) x ₹ 50=₹ 2,00,000(\mathrm{~F})$
Material C $=(2,32,500-2,25,000) x ₹ 20=₹ 1,50,000(\mathrm{~F})$

$$
=₹ \mathbf{3 , 3 4 , 0 0 0}(\mathbf{A})
$$

iv. $\quad$ Material Mix Variance $=(R S Q-A Q) x$ SP

Material $\mathrm{A}=(1,85,000-1,95,000) \mathrm{x} ₹ 76=₹ 7,60,000(\mathrm{~A})$
Material B $=(46,250-42,500) x$ ₹ $50 \quad=₹ 1,87,500(\mathrm{~F})$
Material C $=(2,31,250-2,25,000) x$ ₹ $20=₹ 1,25,000(\mathrm{~F})$
$=₹ \mathbf{4 , 4 7 , 5 0 0}(\mathrm{~A})$
v. Material Yield Variance $=(S Q-R S Q) x$ SP

Material A $=(1,86,000-1,85,000) \times ₹ 76=₹ 76,000(F)$
Material B $=(46,500-46,250) x$ ₹ $50 \quad=₹ 12,500(\mathrm{~F})$
Material C $=(2,32,500-2,31,250) x ₹ 20=₹ 25,000(F)$
= ₹ 1,13,500 (F)
(b)

## KAEHLER CO.LTD

Production Budget for the Quarter ended March 2022 and for the month April, 2022
(Figures in Units)

| Particulars | January | February | March | April |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Budgeted Sales | 10,800 | 15,600 | 12,200 | 10,400 |
| Add: Closing Inventory | $\underline{3,900}$ | $\underline{3,050}$ | $\underline{2,600}$ | $\underline{2,450}$ |
|  | 14,700 | 18,650 | 14,800 | 12,850 |
| Less: Opening Inventory | $\underline{2,700}$ | $\underline{3,900}$ | $\underline{3,050}$ | $\underline{2,600}$ |
| Required Monthly Production | $\underline{12,000}$ | $\underline{14,750}$ | $\underline{11,750}$ | $\underline{10,250}$ |

KAEHLER CO.LTD.
Direct Material Usage and Purchase Budget
for the Quarter ended March 2022
Material A

| Particulars | January | February | March |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Production Requirement - 4 units of Material A |  |  |  |
| for each unit of finished Product |  |  |  |
| Add: Closing Inventory | 48,000 | 59,000 | 47,000 |
|  | $\underline{29,500}$ | $\underline{23,500}$ | $\underline{20,500}$ |
| Less : Opening Inventory | $\underline{77,500}$ | 82,500 | 67,500 |
| Budgeted Purchase | $\underline{24,000}$ | $\underline{29,500}$ | $\underline{23,500}$ |
|  | $\underline{53,500}$ | $\underline{53,000}$ | $\underline{44,000}$ |

## Material B

| Particulars | January | February | March |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Production Requirement - 5 units of Material B for |  |  |  |
| each unit of finished Product |  |  |  |
| Add: Closing Inventory | 60,000 | 73,750 | 58,750 |
|  | $\underline{36,875}$ | $\underline{29,375}$ | $\underline{25,625}$ |
| Less : Opening Inventory | 96,875 | $1,03,125$ | 67,500 |
| Budgeted Purchase | $\underline{30,000}$ | $\underline{36,785}$ | $\underline{29,375}$ |
|  | $\underline{66,875}$ | $\underline{66,250}$ | $\underline{55,000}$ |

